### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

In re: BAYCOL PRODUCTS LITIGATION

MDL No. 1431 (MJD/JGL)

This Document Relates to:

Lowe, Nanette v. Bayer Corp., et al.

Case No. 03-3150

# MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS DEFENDANT SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION D/B/A GLAXOSMITHKLINE FOR INSUFFICIENT SERVICE

Defendant SmithKline Beecham Corporation d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline ("GSK") respectfully moves the Court to dismiss GSK with prejudice from the above-captioned case because plaintiff failed to serve a summons and complaint upon GSK within the applicable limitations period.

#### I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

This single-plaintiff case was originally filed on December 31, 2002, in the Circuit Court of Jefferson County, Mississippi, by a *pro se* plaintiff. According to the court dockets, plaintiff is now represented by the Rhodes Law Offices. According to the complaint, plaintiff resides in Mississippi.

Although plaintiff's complaint names GSK as a defendant, plaintiff has never served GSK with summons and complaint. GSK is a Pennsylvania corporation with its principal place of business in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. GSK was involved with the marketing of Baycol in the United States pursuant to a co-promotion agreement between GSK's predecessor, SmithKline Beecham Corporation, and Bayer. GSK's involvement with the marketing of Baycol ended on August 8, 2001, when Bayer Corporation voluntarily withdrew Baycol from the United States market.

1

#### II. ARGUMENT

### A. The Court Should Dismiss GSK From This Case Because Plaintiff Has Not Properly Served GSK.

The Mississippi Rules of Civil Procedure require that a plaintiff serve a summons and complaint upon each named defendant within 120 days after filing a complaint or "the action shall be dismissed as to that defendant." Miss. R. Civ. P. 4(h); *accord* Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).

Plaintiff in this case has never served GSK, even though more than three years have passed since the case was filed. *See* Affidavit of Marianne Gagliardi, attached as Exhibit A. Moreover, the court dockets reflect no attempt by plaintiff to serve GSK, as no return of service was ever filed. Because plaintiff failed to serve GSK within the mandated 120-day time period, GSK should be dismissed from this case.

## B. The Court Should Dismiss GSK From This Case With Prejudice Because the Limitations Period Applicable to Plaintiff Has Expired.

Because this case was filed in Mississippi, Mississippi substantive law applies. Mississippi's borrowing statute provides that when a claim that has arisen in another state is time-barred in that state, it is also time-barred in Mississippi. *See* Miss. Code Ann. § 15-1-65. Here, plaintiff is a Mississippi resident. Since plaintiff's claims arose in her state of residence (i.e., the state in which she was prescribed and ingested Baycol), Mississippi's statute of limitations applies. Mississippi's statute of limitations provides that personal injury actions must be filed within three years after a cause of action accrues. *See* Miss. Code Ann. § 15-1-49; *Cannon v. Mid-South X-Ray Co.* 738 So. 2d 274 (Miss. App. 1999) (holding that the residual three-year limitations period provided by Miss. Code Ann. § 15-1-49 governs product liability actions).

At the very latest, the three-year limitations period applicable to this plaintiff

began to run on August 8, 2001, because the withdrawal of Baycol put plaintiff on notice

that Baycol could have caused her alleged injuries, thus accruing plaintiff's cause of

action. Therefore, the latest possible date on which this plaintiff could have filed an

action against GSK was August 8, 2004. Since that deadline has passed, any attempt by

plaintiff to re-file her complaint would be time-barred. Accordingly, when the Court

dismisses GSK from this case for insufficient service, the dismissal should be with

prejudice.

III. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, GSK respectfully requests the Court to dismiss

GSK with prejudice from this case because plaintiff failed to serve GSK within the 120-

day time period, and because the applicable limitations period for filing an action against

GSK has expired.

Respectfully submitted,

Original Signature on File with Filing Attorney

Fred T. Magaziner

Shane T. Prince

DECHERT LLP

Cira Centre, 2929 Arch Street

Philadelphia, PA 19104

(215) 994-4000

Attorneys for Defendant SmithKline

Beecham Corporation d/b/a

GlaxoSmithKline

Dated: September 15, 2006

3

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Shane T. Prince, hereby certify that on September 15, 2006, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of the foregoing Motion to Dismiss Defendant SmithKline Beecham Corporation d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline for Insufficient Service, electronically upon the following:

| COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS   | COUNSEL FOR BAYER   |
|--|---|
| Charles S. Zimmerman Zimmerman Reed, P.L.L.P. 851 Nicollet Mall Suite 501 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Telephone: 612-341-0400  | Adam Hoeflich Bartlit Beck Herman Palenchar & Scott 54 West Hubbard, Ste. 300 Chicago, IL 60610 Telephone: 312-494-4400                                 |
| Richard A. Lockridge Lockridge Grindal Nauen, PLLP 100 Washington Avenue South Suite 2200 Minneapolis, MN 55401 Telephone: 612-339-6900  | Susan A. Weber Sidley Austin Brown & Wood Bank One Plaza 10 South Dearborn Street Chicago, IL 60603 Telephone: 312-853-7000                             |
| Dale I. Larson Shawn M. Raiter Larson - King, LLP 2800 Minnesota World Trade Center 30 East Seventh Street St. Paul, MN 55101 Telephone: 651-227-4453                              | Gene C. Schaerr Sidley Austin Brown & Wood 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: 202-737-7792   |
| Elizabeth J. Cabraser Lieff, Cabraser, Heimann & Bernstein, LLP Embarcadero Center West 275 Battery Street, 30 <sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415-956-1000 | Richard K. Dandrea Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC USX Tower 600 Grant Street, 44 <sup>th</sup> Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Telephone: 412-566-6000 |

| COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS  | COUNSEL FOR BAYER                |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Dianne M. Nast          | Peter W. Sipkins                 |
| Roda & Nast, P.C.       | Dorsey & Whitney LLP             |
| 801 Estelle Drive       | 50 South Sixth Street, Ste. 1500 |
| Lancaster, PA 17601     | Minneapolis, MN 55402            |
| Telephone: 717-892-3000 | Telephone: 612-340-2600          |
| Carroll E. Rhodes       | C. Alleen McLain                 |
| Rhodes Law Offices      | Watkins & Eager                  |
| P.O. Box 588            | P.O. Box 650                     |
| Hazlehurst, MS 39083    | Jackson, MS 39205                |
| Telephone: 601-894-4323 | Telephone: 601-948-6470          |

| Original Signature on File with Filing Attorney |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| Shane T. Prince                                 |  |  |